

# Methodology of the Equine Carbon Calculator

Dr. Lizzy Parker, Dr. James Pitman & Michael Brown

Version 3.0

April 2024



## Table of Contents

<b>Glossary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. About the Equine Carbon Calculator</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. How the Calculator is structured</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Calculator Scope</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. Accuracy of results</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6. References and assumptions</b>	<b>6</b>
Proxy and actual data	6
<b>7. How do we calculate CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions?</b>	<b>7</b>
7.1. Fuels	7
Fuels and electricity	7
Contractors	8
7.2. Materials	8
7.3. Infrastructure	9
7.4. Fertility & Cropping (Crops)	10
7.5. Inputs	11
Fertilisers	11
Sprays	12
7.6. Animals	12
Animals	12
Horses	14
Animal feeds	17
Animal bedding	18
7.7. Waste	18
7.8. Transport	18
Travel	19
7.9. Sequestration	19
<b>8. Other Calculations we use</b>	<b>21</b>
Fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM)	21
Conversions from individual GHG emissions to CO <sub>2</sub> e	21
<b>9. What farm business information do users enter?</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>10. Standards and compliance</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>11. What's new and what's not included</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>12. Independent Reviews</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>13. Development cycle</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>14. Contacting us</b>	<b>23</b>

## Glossary

<b>AD</b>	Anaerobic Digestion
<b>BEIS</b>	Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy
<b>CH<sub>4</sub></b>	Methane
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	Carbon dioxide
<b>CO<sub>2</sub>e</b>	Carbon dioxide equivalent
<b>FYM</b>	Farm Yard Manure
<b>GHG</b>	Greenhouse Gas
<b>IPCC</b>	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
<b>N<sub>2</sub>O</b>	Nitrous oxide
<b>NH<sub>3</sub></b>	Ammonia
<b>PAS</b>	Publicly Available Standard
<b>SOM</b>	Soil Organic Matter
<b>SOC</b>	Soil Organic Carbon

## Document Version

Version	Date	Description
Version 1.0	August 2021	Methodology draft finalised
Version 2.0	May 2023	Methodology draft revised
Version 3.0	April 2024	Methodology draft revised

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this document is to share details about the methodology that sits behind our Equine Carbon Calculator, a valuable tool used to inform the equestrian industry for better decision making.

In a world grappling with the urgent task of rapidly reducing greenhouse gas emissions, we believe transparency in the equine sector is crucial. By sharing more about how equine-related greenhouse gas emissions are measured, we hope our calculator users and the wider public will have a greater understanding about the priorities and opportunities to make positive change. We also believe transparency will help build a greater trust and engagement with our community, with feedback that will further improve our calculator.

## 2. About the Equine Carbon Calculator

The equine carbon calculator was developed by the [Farm Carbon toolkit](#) in collaboration with White Griffin Limited, Derby College, Hartpury University, Sparsholt College and represents the first nation wide carbon footprinting tool for the equine industry. Pioneered by agricultural carbon calculator specialists, Farm Carbon Toolkit, the free-to-use calculator is here to empower equine businesses and horse owners to understand and reduce our environmental impact, identify cost-saving opportunities, and contribute to countryside regeneration. Without insights into the scale of the challenges and opportunities, the equine industry is hindered in setting meaningful targets. The equine carbon calculator seeks to bridge this gap, empowering stakeholders to make informed decisions for a sustainable future.

All users of the Farm Carbon Calculator accept a set of [Terms and Conditions](#) which are detailed on our website here: <https://calculator.farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk/terms-conditions/>

## 3. How the Calculator is structured

The Calculator is split into ten sections, each subdivided into various input fields. Users enter data according to the following guidance:

- What is relevant to their equine business only
- Take a recent point in time and cover everything over the previous 12 months
- Capital items go in the infrastructure section (e.g. Lorries and buildings) and include everything under 10 years old

## 4. Calculator Scope

The Calculator has always been designed to be used as a whole yard carbon footprinting tool. However, it can also now be used to produce a footprint on a per product basis (e.g. wheat, milk, potatoes).

The Calculator covers emissions Scopes 1, 2 and 3 in its calculations:

<b>Scope 1</b>	Also known as <b>direct emissions</b> , these are emissions that are owned or controlled by the company such as fuel for tractors, gas for heating and from change of land use. Additional emissions arise from N <sub>2</sub> O released as a consequence of manure storage and application.
<b>Scope 2</b>	These are associated with emissions resulting from the generation of <b>purchased electricity</b> used on the farm.
<b>Scope 3</b>	Also known as <b>indirect emissions</b> , associated with the production, processing and distribution of inputs into the farming system. For example, fertilisers and the emissions that occurred in the manufacture of machinery, building materials and other yard infrastructure.
<b>Out of scopes</b>	These are emissions associated with the combustion of biofuels, wood or crop biomass.

Users are encouraged to be as comprehensive as possible with the data they submit for their calculation, as this gives more assurance in terms of the reliability of the results.

All GHG fluxes are reported in the standard tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e. In the final report, a breakdown of fluxes from carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) in tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e is given, as well as a breakdown of fluxes by scope.

## 5. Accuracy of results

The accuracy of a carbon footprint report is dependent on a number of factors, including:

- Accuracy of emissions factors;
- Whether a factor is based on actual or proxy values;
- Accuracy of both data collection and data input by the user;
- Level of completeness by the user.

At present, we do not offer verification of carbon reports for standard users of the Calculator, as this requires a detailed audit process. As part of our consultancy service, we do support yards and companies with enhanced footprint calculations and verifying the inputs, which provides a level of

independent auditing. However we do not currently provide this service to a Third Party verification standard e.g. an ISO standard.

In the full results of carbon reports we provide a confidence level column. This ranges from 1 to 3, where results with 3 are those in which we have the most confidence in results. This scale is created by us through an understanding of the accuracy of the emissions/sequestration factors, as well as the likely limitations of user accuracy. For example for emissions from diesel we score this as a 3, because the emissions factors are accurate and we would expect users to have detailed information on their usage. Conversely, emissions from livestock are scored 1; whilst users will likely have detailed input data, emissions from biological systems are inherently variable which limits the level of certainty in these results.

## 6. References and assumptions

The majority of the emission and sequestration factors that underpin the Farm Carbon Calculator come from peer-reviewed scientific papers and we are transparent about these sources. A full list of current references and assumptions is provided on our website here:

<https://calculator.farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk/resources> or  
<https://calculator.farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk/references-0>

Each time we perform a major update to the calculator (typically annually), we review all references and factors; this comprises over 1000 data entry lines.

For ease of use, our calculator is divided into the following data input categories and in subsequent sections of this document, we cover the methodology and emission factors used in each:

- Fuels
- Materials
- Infrastructure/capital
- Fertility & Cropping (Crops)
- Inputs (agro-chemicals)
- Animals
- Waste
- Transport
- Land Use & sequestration.

### Proxy and actual data

Some emissions factors are calculated based on actual data (e.g. litres of red diesel used), and some are based on proxy data (e.g. carbon sequestration of hedgerows). This depends on the availability of reference data for a particular item, and how practical it is for the user to provide data. Some items

offer a choice between approaches depending on what information the user has access to – e.g. when tracking the emissions of a car, users have the option to fill actual data or proxy data. A user can either input fuel usage for their car directly if the fuel volume has been logged, or alternatively if the volume has not been recorded users can input the mileage driven by the user. The direct fuel usage provides a more accurate assessment of emissions, whereas the mileage provides a proxy value.

Users will not always have access to the equipment to directly measure GHG fluxes on their yards and so even where a user chooses the “actual” option to input data, the report for a yard or product is still an indirect assessment of its carbon footprint.

Users can, however, input direct measurements of soil organic matter (SOM) or soil organic carbon (SOC) which can be used as direct measures of GHG fluxes from soils. Indirect estimations of sequestration and land use related emissions can also be selected in the Calculator where SOM and SOC sampling is not available. If you are seeking to enter the voluntary carbon market, you should check the requirements of any scheme (see also our guidance <https://farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk/toolkit-page/getting-paid-for-carbon/>).

## 7. How do we calculate CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions?

### 7.1. Fuels

Emissions from the use of fuels, electricity, travelling and contractors. These include scope 1 (direct), scope 2 (indirect emissions from purchased energy) and scope 3 (indirect – such as processing and transport) emissions, including ‘well-to-tank’ emissions factors.

#### Fuels and electricity

All of the items in liquid fuels, electricity, gas fuels, heat & steam, solid fuels, and contractors are derived from BEIS GHG conversion factors (86). The exceptions are:

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Liquid fuels	AdBlue	69	
Electricity & Gas Fuels	Electricity/Gas exported to the grid	61	GHG protocol agricultural guidance on how electricity exports recorded
Electricity	Tariff with known carbon footprint	N/A	To enable users to input a known carbon footprint of an electricity supplier. Simply direct input of a CO <sub>2</sub> e figure.
Gas Fuels	Biogas for Off grid	38	Accounting for gas burnt on site but generated from AD plants.
Deliveries	Known carbon footprint	N/A	To enable users to input a known carbon footprint of deliveries. Simply direct input of a CO <sub>2</sub> e figure.

Contractors	Operations emissions	37	Emissions factors are based on the average fuel usage and the BEIS GHG conversion factors.
-------------	----------------------	----	--

### Contractors

Data draws from the [HGCA Calculator](#) (37), multiplied by the diesel emissions factor (scopes 1 & 3) from BEIS GHG conversion factors (86) for all contractor operations with the additional calculations made for the following:

Section	Item	Notes
Hay baling	Small rectangular	Assumes 250 bales/ha
	Large round	Assumes 15 bales/ha
	Heston	Assumes 7.5 bales/ha

## 7.2. Materials

The embodied energy in a range of materials that may be used on farms, including aggregates, metals, wood and plastics. These are all Scope 3 emissions.

Emissions factors are drawn from the Inventory of Carbon and Energy database, either version 2.0 (2) or [version 3.0](#) (2a). A range of metrics are used, including tonnes, kg, m<sup>2</sup> and m<sup>3</sup>. Items from other sources are:

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Aggregates	Recycled asphalt	60	Allows the asphalt factor to be adjusted for recycled content
Various	Plastics	86	The plastic emissions factors are taken from the BEIS GHG conversion factors database.
Fencing	Complete fencing options, Horse fencing options & components	2	Calculating the posts and wire used in common fencing options, multiplied by emissions factors from the Inventory of Carbon and Energy.
Vineyard trellising	Vineyard trellises	2 & 86	Calculations for trellises based on the materials used
Consumables Packaging	Various	86	The emissions factors are calculated based on average weight of the item and material used
Consumables agriculture	Bale wrap	86	Factors by the bale provided based on average weight of material used



Horticultural materials	Netting	86	Factors for netting based upon material usage
Horticultural constructions	Poly tunnels	2a	Factor calculations based on material usage for standard polytunnel constructions
Computers	Laptops & Desktops	91	Proxy emissions factors for embodied energy in computers
Water & sewage	Mains water & sewage	86	Scope 3 emissions for water supply and disposal
Water	Non-mains	N/A	Figure simply recorded as water use. No emissions specifically – any fuel or electricity used in pumping or treatment will be picked up under Fuels.
Arena surface materials	All	2	Allows for replacement arena materials to be accounted for.

### 7.3. Infrastructure

This section covers the embodied energy in larger items like machinery and buildings (capital items). In a similar principle to financial accounting, these are depreciated over 10 years, so 10% of emissions are apportioned each year.

Most of the emissions factors are again derived from the Inventory of Carbon and Energy, either version 2.0 (2) or [version 3.0](#) (2a), but given only 10% weighting per year. It is also possible to create “custom” projects and group together any items from the “Materials” section to be treated as capital items. The other data sources are:

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Vehicles	Cars	91	Values from the Average of all GM vehicles produced and used in the 10 year life-cycle.
Vehicles	Lorries & Trailers	2a	The vehicle is assumed to be built from 95% steel and so the vehicle weight is multiplied by 0.95 and then by the current emissions factor for plate steel
Farm machinery	Tractor, harvesters, etc	3	Based on horsepower of machine – a proxy for emissions
Agricultural buildings	Sheds	2 & 2a	This calculation is based on a standard agricultural portal building constructed of concrete floor, steel frame, roof sheets and timber slat walls. Based on a per m2 calculation.

Agricultural buildings	Stables	2 & 2a	Material use adjusted by type and size.
Arenas	Outdoor arena	2 & 2a	Assumes 3 bar fencing with kickboard, fleece & polypropylene membrane, drainage pipes, limestone footing, sand & surface material.
Arenas	Indoor arena		As above without fencing and with an agricultural building structure

## 7.4. Fertility & Cropping (Crops)

This section covers the carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from fertility and biomass inputs to cropping systems (fertilisers, organic manures, mineral fertilisers).

Emissions from crops are specifically worked out from the amount of crop (fresh yield) that results in crop residues. Crop residues contribute nitrogenous material to the soil, some of which goes through denitrification to N<sub>2</sub>O. The fresh yield quantity is directly proportional to the residues, and then the amount of N<sub>2</sub>O released.

The methodology used is that of the IPCC 2019 (94), using emissions factors specific to the UK from the UK GHG Inventory and its annexes (92b & 92c) with reference to the GHG protocol agricultural guidance (61).

Some crops (for example tree crops) have been included for data capture only.

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Crops	Agricultural	92b & 94	IPCC methodology, and factors from UK GHG Inventory
	Horticultural	92b & 94	IPCC methodology, and factors from UK GHG Inventory
Organic fertility sources	Compost	51	Fresh weight of bought-in compost. Includes both N <sub>2</sub> O and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions.
	Manure (composted)	94 & 96	An average of annual measured emissions, including both N <sub>2</sub> O and CH <sub>4</sub>
	Manure – exported	8	A carbon offset/transfer when manure is sold to another farm (which then becomes a carbon emission to them). Same value as composted manure.

Anaerobic digestion	Digestate	51	Bought in digestate – average emissions calculated from AD plants
	Running an AD plant	38	Average emissions of various processes in running an AD plant, including CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions. Based on tonnes of biowaste input.
Lime & Mineral fertilisers	Lime, rock phosphate, rock potash, K fertiliser, Gypsum	3 & 92c	Emissions from processing of lime and mineral fertilisers
	Phosphoric acid	95	Emissions associated with production of the amendment
	Potassium sulphate	90	
	Sulfuric acid	95	
Green manures	All leguminous green manures	92b & 94	N <sub>2</sub> O emissions as part of the N fixation process. IPCC methodology, and UK specific N fixation rates. Note that this does not take account of any carbon sequestration – this is covered under soils in sequestration page
Plant raising media	(all)	16	Average of emissions for all common plant raising media used in horticulture

## 7.5. Inputs

The GHG emissions associated with energy input in the production of agro chemicals and, in the case of fertilisers, the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions resulting from their application to UK soils.

### Fertilisers

This is split into two sections: one is for generic fertilisers, such as Ammonium Nitrate, or Urea; these are derived from Brentrup *et al.* 2018 (48). These fertilisers require the user to specify the country of origin which should be provided on the invoice or labelling (and has a big effect on the carbon footprint of the product).

The second section is for known fertilisers, including those manufactured by Yara, CF, Origin and Mole Valley Farmers. These are derived from either communication of the recipe and production methods directly from the manufacturer and then calculation using the generic fertiliser values (CF and Mole Avon) or based on verified and certified carbon footprints of those products (47, 48, 49).

The user input figures are based on tonnes of product used.

Two further functions enable users to enter:

1. A specific blend of fertiliser, based on known % of N:P:K, multiplied by tonnes of product used
2. A specific known footprint of a fertiliser, using kg of CO<sub>2</sub>e per kg of product, multiplied by tonnes of product used

Overall GHG emissions for fertilisers are based on four processes, and measured in tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e:

- Production emissions to factory/plant gate
- Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to soil
- Indirect NH<sub>3</sub> losses (to leaching and volatilization)
- Emissions from urea hydrolysis (applies to Urea products only)

All calculations are based on IPCC methodology. The emissions factors for in field emissions are based on [MIN-NO project](#) findings (47), which are UK specific, and considered an improvement on IPCC methodology because they are more accurate.

Application is assumed to be by broadcast or application of solution. Nitrogen inhibitors are not accounted for.

### Sprays

Sprays can be entered as either “generic” or “actual” depending on whether the product in question is listed in our database. Both rely on the same underlying emissions factors for fungicides, growth regulators, herbicides, insecticides, molluscicides or adjuvants (18, 40) multiplied by the concentration of active ingredient used. For “actual” sprays, we have a database of over 300 specific branded sprays and their active ingredient content taken from the [UK pesticides register](#).

## 7.6. Animals

This section covers nitrous oxide and methane emissions from animals' enteric fermentation and manures, and emissions from imported feeds and bedding.

### Animals

Livestock emissions are complex and are based on IPCC calculation methodologies. There are several variables which require user input:

- Category of livestock, by species, age, use and live weight
- Numbers of livestock, on average, per year – both for the current year and the previous year
- Manure handling – the percentage (on an annual basis) of manures handled as slurry, FYM, daily spread, or in-field.
- Adjustments for dairy cattle (based on annual milk yield) and beef cattle (based on average liveweight).

A full list of livestock categories used in the Calculator is available below:

Category	Category description	
Dairy cattle	Dairy cows	Lactating, “dry” or in-calf dairy cows
	Dairy heifers	First pregnancy or first lactation dairy cattle under 3 years of age
	Dairy replacements (1+ years)	1–3 year old female cattle to join the dairy herd who are not in-calf or lactating
	Calves (under 1 year)	Cattle under 1 year old
	Dairy beef (1+ years)	Dairy breeds not lactating but fattened for beef (over 1 year old)
	Bulls for breeding	Dairy or beef breeding bulls
Beef cattle	Calves (under 1 year)	Cattle under 1 year old (male or female)
	Beef cattle	12–18 months cattle for finishing (male or female)
	Beef finishing heifers	18–30 months heifers for slaughter
	Beef suckler cows	Lactating, “dry” or in-calf beef suckler cows
	Bulls for breeding	Dairy or beef breeding bulls
Beef cattle (continued)	Finishing bulls (beef)	Bull for beef 12+ months (e.g. cereal-fed)
	Beef replacement heifers	First pregnancy or first lactation beef suckler cows under 3 years of age
	Beef finishing steers	12–24 months steers for slaughter
Pigs	Adult sows	Sows (including sows in pig, sows being suckled and dry sows being kept for further breeding)
	Breeding gilts (female)	Gilts (including gilts in pig and gilts not yet in pig)
	Adult boars	Boars for service
	Piglets	Fattening swine under 20 kg
	Weaner pigs (under 20kg)	Fattening swine under 20 kg
	Weaner pigs (over 20kg)	Fattening swine 20–80 kg
	Finishing pig (porker)	Fattening swine 20–80 kg
	Finishing pig (cutter)	Fattening swine 80+ kg
	Bacon pigs	Fattening swine 80+ kg

Category	Category description	
	Barren sows for finishing	Barren sows for fattening >80kg
Sheep	Ewes	Adult ewes
	Replacement ewes	Shearling or replacement ewes (1+ years)
	Rams or tups	Adult rams or tups
	Lambs	Young sheep under 1 year
Other livestock		Goats
		Deer (all)
Other livestock (continued)		Chickens – layers
		Chickens – broilers
		Breeding stock (all poultry)
		Pullets
		Ducks
		Turkeys
		Geese

## Horses

Calculations for horses followed the same system as the livestock above, with tailoring for different breeds. Data for the average horse heights and weights of common UK breeds was collected from Horse & Country [Horse&Country.tv](https://www.horseandcountry.tv) (accessed 01/02/2024).

### **Breed selection:**

We have provided a selection of common UK horse and pony breeds from which your animal can be selected. For mixed breeds or for groups of animals a general option has been provided. For mixed breeds users can also select a most similar specific breed as long as it is roughly the same size as your animal.

### **Inputting the height and weight of the animal:**

The size of the animal will greatly affect its emissions, and therefore accurate calculations of your animal's emissions require information on the animal's weight.

Weight can be estimated using either weight tape (available at equine stores) or using a bodyweight estimation formula. These are available online, but essentially:  $(\text{body length in cm} \times \text{heart girth in cm} \times \text{heart girth in cm}) / 11880 = \text{Approximate weight in kg}$ .

For the most common UK breeds and types of horse, we have provided default weights but you can overwrite these if you have a more accurate estimate. For example, the default liveweight for a “Thoroughbred, Swedish warmblood or Westphalian” is 475kg; if I know that at the last estimate my thoroughbred’s weight was 451 kg, I can enter this under “Average live weight per head”.

Similarly, if I have a group of 5 thoroughbreds and I know that between them, their average weight was 451 kg, I could enter 5 under “Quantity” and 451 under “Average live weight per head”

#### **Broodmares and Foals:**

Weight measurements for broodmares should not be estimated using the weighing tape or formula approaches. Instead the approximate weight of a pregnant mare will be 15% more than its mature weight. Therefore by multiplying the animal’s weight from before/after being in foal by 1.15 a good estimate for the mare can be calculated.

Similarly foal weight estimates should be calculated from the likely mature weight of the animal. At six months a foal will be 43% of its mature weight, and at 12 months it will be 61% of its mature weight. So, by multiplying the expected mature weight by 0.43 (for 6 month olds) or 0.61 (for 12 month olds) an estimate for the foal can be generated.

Category	Sub category	Horse breed	Height range	Weight range (kg)
Horse (by breed)	Draught Horse	Hackney horse	14.2–16.2 hands	400–550 kg
		Irish draught horse	15.1–16.3 hands	600–700 kg
		Ardennes or Shire horse or Percheron	15.3–17 hands	700–1200 kg
		Clydesdale or Suffolk Punch horse	16–18 hands	700–900 kg
	Sports Horse	Halfinger horse	13.2–15 hands	350–600 kg
		Arabian horse	14.1–15.1 hands	360–450 kg
		Thoroughbred, Swedish Warmblood or Westphalian	15.2–17.2 hands	450–500 kg
		American or Dutch Warmblood, Holsteiner or Hanoverian horse	15–17.2 hands	450–700 kg

Category	Sub category	Horse breed	Height range	Weight range (kg)
		Cleveland bay horse	16–16.2 hands	550–700 kg
Pony (by breed)	All rounder Ponies	Dartmoor or Exmoor pony	11.1–12.2 hands	200–400 kg
		Dales or Fell pony	13–14 hands	350–500 kg
		Highland pony	13–14.2 hands	500–600 kg
	Miniature Ponies	Shetland pony	7–10.2 hands	180–200 kg
		Spotted pony	8–14 hands	200–300 kg
	Sport Ponies	New Forest or Hackney pony	12–14.2 hands	230–330 kg
		Connemara pony or Welara	11.2–15 hands	290–400 kg
		Argentine or quarter horse polo pony	14.2–16 hands	400–540 kg
General / Mixed breed	General / Mixed breed	Small pony	5–9 hands	180–250 kg
		Medium pony	9.2–12 hands	200–400 kg
		Large pony / Small horse	12–14.2 hands	400–600 kg
		Medium Horse	13.2–15 hands	400–500 kg
		Large Horse	15.1–18 hands	500–1200 kg
Donkeys & Mules	Donkeys & Mules	Donkeys	9–12 hands	180–230 kg
		Mules	14–17 hands	350–450 kg

Please see notes in the [data collection form](#) for guidance on completing this section of the Calculator (including how to estimate average head of animals in each category over the 12 month reporting period). Emissions factors that the calculations are based on are determined by UK GHG inventory and its annexes (92) and IPCC methodology 2019 (94). Since the sex and age of the animal affects their metabolism, and therefore their enteric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions and excretion rate, livestock are separated by these characteristics in order to improve the estimates of GHG emissions, which are inherently variable. Lactation and pregnancy also alter an animal's GHG emissions so livestock are also separated based on this trait.



Within the Calculator, it is possible to simply enter the average head of livestock in each applicable category for the most basic estimation of GHG emissions. In this case, where no liveweight is entered, a default liveweight is used (for categories of growing livestock, e.g. calves, this is a midpoint weight within the age-range, to take account of growth across the 12 month reporting period). These default values can be found in our [data collection spreadsheet](#).

For a more comprehensive estimation of GHG emissions, we recommended creating multiple entries for each category with user-input liveweights – this will give a more accurate estimate of GHG emissions. Furthermore, by inputting information on dry matter intake (DMI) per head per year, users can improve the accuracy of GHG emissions estimation.

A Tier 2 (UK-specific) methodology is employed to calculate livestock GHG emissions for cattle, sheep, and pigs. Poultry calculations undergo a Tier 2 calculation but with a zero value for enteric emissions while goats, horses and deer are treated with a Tier 1 (international) methodology.

In this way, the Calculator's Livestock section is customisable for a range of livestock production systems, whilst relying on the generic livestock categories underpinned by the IPCC and UK GHG Inventory guidance. Unfortunately, the IPCC guidelines do not currently incorporate a comprehensive GWP\* methodology, and there is no consensus on its use so we as yet do not provide this as an option in the calculator.

### Animal feeds

These indirect emissions are very important to assess the holistic carbon impacts of livestock production. The list is split into organic and non-organic feeds, as well as a list of generic and branded feed blends.

The Calculator primarily uses data from the '[GFLI database](#)' (18). Some further data for non-organic feed is obtained from '[GHG emissions from food](#)' (17), along with all the data for organic feeds.

Some emissions factors for feed blends have been calculated, based on the known constituents of certain blends. This research has been undertaken by Farm Carbon Calculator, based on discussions with feed companies. Using the constituent parts, and data from the GFLI database, the footprint of certain blends has been calculated.

The exceptions to these approaches are as follows:

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Equine feeds	Hay & Haylage	17	Based on silage emissions factor scaled to the dry matter percentage (32% DM for silage, 70% haylage, 85% hay). Bagged options also include packaging.

Calf rearing	Whole milk powder	68	
	Milk replacement powders (all)	18, 67 & 68	Formulations of milk replacement powders taken from 67 and relevant emissions factors applied to constituent parts based on information in 18 and 68
	Calf rearing pellets	18 & 67	Formulations of milk replacement powders taken from 67 and relevant emissions factors applied to constituent parts based on information in 18.
Supplements	Novapro	72	Estimate of emissions associated with constituents of Novapro (factor to be reviewed upon acceptance of product into GFLI database)

Emissions factors are based on users entering tonnes of product used on an annual basis.

### Animal bedding

Animal bedding materials emissions factors are taken from the Inventory of Carbon and Energy (ICE) database v3.0 (2a) and from the GHG emissions of various straw (17) with users entering tonnes of product for an annual reporting period.

## 7.7. Waste

This section covers emissions from landfill, and the savings from recycling and composting materials. Users enter data on their annual outputs of waste and recycling from a range of specific categories of materials.

Emissions factors for all Landfill emissions, as well as composting and AD emissions are derived from BEIS GHG conversion factors (86).

## 7.8. Transport

For businesses that have transport beyond the yard gate within the scope of their report, this section calculates the emissions from transporting animals or any yard-associated farm products away from the yard.

Users can enter actual data on fuel used per year on transport (be it cars or lorries). If they don't have this data they can use proxy data based on three variables – delivery distance per journey, weight carried per journey, and number of journeys per year.

All the emissions factors are derived from BEIS GHG conversion factors (86).

Refrigeration emissions are calculated from refrigerant losses from food storage on the farm (or in packhouses/warehouses/food processing). This is calculated by using the GHG protocol worksheet

(12), an online tool to calculate the accurate emissions from refrigerant gases, per year. The figure from the spreadsheet can then be entered directly into the Calculator by the user.

**Users are reminded not to double count any data entered in the Fuels section in Transport (and vice versa). For example, if you're inputting car usage in Transport you do not need to enter the car petrol usage in fuels.**

## Travel

All data is from BEIS GHG conversion factors (86) and includes all scope 3 emissions, including 'well-to-tank' emissions factors. The 'miles per gallon' function for cars is calculated as a function of miles per gallon, fuel used and miles travelled.

Accommodation in a hotel based upon BEIS GHG factors (86) and is calculated from the number of people and the number of nights.

## 7.9. Sequestration

This section calculates carbon sequestered by perennial plants and soils on the farm.

**Data sources:** All of the sequestration factors are proxy figures, except for actual Soil Organic Matter (SOM) or Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) measurements. A range of sources are used in this section:

Section	Item	Reference	Notes
Soils	Soil Organic Matter	79	Based on actual SOM and/ or SOC from soil samples, users enter data on field size, depth of measurement, bulk density and SOM/SOC results over a given time period. This is converted into changes in volume of soil organic carbon and therefore the amount of carbon sequestered (or emitted) as per IPCC methodology.
	Soil Organic Carbon		
	Carbon stocks		A log of baseline soil carbon stocks in fields. These results do not impact on the overall carbon balance, they are therefore just for reference.
Woodland	Detailed analysis	58	Users input the species, age range and area of woodland. Assumptions of average yield class, average spacing, and no thinning is applied. This is the recommended approach.
	Mixed, coniferous and broadleaf	58	Average values per hectare of types of woodland, over a 200 year average.
	In field trees	58	A per m2 value based on average sequestration rates for deciduous woodland.

Hedgerows	Managed	22, 25, 99, & 101	Based on the length and width of managed hedges – i.e. those cut on a regular basis. Sequestration factors based on averages from peer reviewed studies.
	Large growth with trees	25, 99, & 100	Based on the length and width of large growth hedges with trees – i.e. those trimmed or laid on an irregular basis, forming large structures with in line trees. Sequestration factors based on averages from peer reviewed studies.
Perennial crops	Top fruit, stone fruit and nuts	26	Average sequestration values per hectare. Includes biomass only – soil and grass sequestration excluded.
	Grape vines	28	Covers sequestration in biomass only, not soils.
	Miscanthus	29	Sequestration rates in biomass and soils
	Willow & poplar	30	Covering sequestration in both soils and biomass
Field margins	Uncultivated	25	Area of field margins that are permanently uncultivated. Sequestration rates include soil carbon.
Wetlands	Permanent	13	Area of permanent peaty wetland that is ungrazed
Land use change	(various)	23	Changes which result in losses of carbon, such as woodland to arable. This is from carbon losses in soils and biomass. These are considered to be uncommon in a UK setting, but must be accounted for if they occur. These are one off losses. Users should not enter values in here if they have also calculated SOM measurements for exactly these areas of land use change – though this is thought to be an unlikely occurrence.
	Marshy grassland to degraded wetland	44	Sequestration in biomass and soils on a continuous basis. Users should not enter data here if they have included SOM measurements of the same area.
Habitats/ Higher tier stewardship	(various)	44	Sequestration in biomass and soils on a continuous basis for various habitats, as defined in the Countryside Stewardship Scheme for higher level scheme (HLS) options. The underlying data is based on mid-tier options, and only HLS schemes with an equivalent mid-tier option in the study are included. Users should not enter data here if they have included SOM measurements of the same area.
Cultivated peat soils	Peat soils	21	N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from cultivated peat soils. Also CO <sub>2</sub> losses from soils – unless users are able to supply SOM results, in which case only the N <sub>2</sub> O changes are accounted for. Average values are used from the source.

Uncultivated peatland soils	(various)	82	Emissions from varying states of uncultivated peatland in line with the Peatland Carbon Code.
Countryside Stewardship	(various)	63	Sequestration in biomass and soils on a continuous basis for various habitats, as defined in the Countryside Stewardship Scheme. Users should not enter data here if they have included SOM measurements of the same area.

## 8. Other Calculations we use

### Fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM)

To calculate the milk KPI (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e per kg FPCM) we use the following equation from the FAO 2010 that corrects to the energy equivalent in milk of 4% fat and 3.3% protein (referenced in 81). If the user does not enter a fat or protein content of their milk, the Calculator assumes 4% fat and 3.2% protein.

$$kg\ FPCM_{[4\%F, 3.2\%CP]} = (0.337 + 0.116 * fat\ \% + 0.06 * protein\ \%)$$

### Conversions from individual GHG emissions to CO<sub>2</sub>e

The emissions factors for some items in the calculator come from sources such as individual GHG emissions. For example, when accounting for crop residue emissions it is necessary to calculate the direct and indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The calculations provide a value for the quantity of N<sub>2</sub>O released, which we then convert into CO<sub>2</sub>e per N<sub>2</sub>O in accordance with the IPCC guidelines. The three main GHGs are calculated using the following ratios under GWPI00 (53):

CO<sub>2</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub>e per CO<sub>2</sub> = 1 : 1

CH<sub>4</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub>e per CH<sub>4</sub> = 28 : 1

N<sub>2</sub>O to CO<sub>2</sub>e per N<sub>2</sub>O = 265 : 1

## 9. What farm business information do users enter?

At the start of all carbon reports users are asked to input information about their farm business (or specific carbon report). This includes the following information:

- Yard category** (multiple can be ticked) – Livery yard (or other equine boarding provider), Stud farm, Riding school (riding, driving, reining), Trekking or leisure business, Training yard (horse racing), Competition yard, Sales yard, Equine event venue, Hunt yard, Charity or horse welfare

organisation, College or higher education institution, Private estate or individual horse owner, Arable farm, Livestock or mixed farm, & Other

2. **Are horses involved in any of the following sports?** – Dressage, Eventing, Show jumping, Para-dressage, Para-show jumping, Reining, Driving, Polo, Polo Cross, Horse Ball, Mounted Games, Endurance, Vaulting, & Horse Racing
3. **Inventory method** – Determines whether the capital items are being accounted for upfront or whether the emission factor should be depreciated over a 10 year period.
4. **Certification** – BHS or ABRS+ livery yards and riding centre
5. **Farm area in hectares** for each of the following:
  - Cultivated land – all arable and horticulture land that involves soil cultivations (or non/min-till systems)
  - Grassland – temporary and/or permanent grassland, generally used for livestock grazing, and/or forage
  - Non-cropping land – any land not falling under cultivated land or grassland. For example woodland, scrub or other uses which are not generally used for agricultural or horticultural use
6. **Overheads report** – users can create Overheads inventories for their business, which is used specifically when creating reports on a per product basis (e.g. wheat, milk, cauliflower) or where multiple enterprises share equipment or resources. User guidance is given on how to create Overheads and Produce basis reports.

## 10. Standards and compliance

We believe there is not currently a satisfactory national or international standard that covers the exact requirements of a yard carbon report. [PAS 2050](#) is widely used to calculate the GHG emissions from various products and services. Its methodology is used in the Calculator, however its scope falls short of what is required for a complete farm carbon footprint, in that it doesn't include Scope 3 (indirect) emissions, and is very limited on carbon sequestration.

We are actively developing alignment with international standards such as ISO 14064 and the GHG Protocol, as well as land-sector based guidance from FLAG.

## 11. What's new and what's not included

New developments to the calculator as part of our development cycle are listed on the Resources page of our main Farm Carbon Calculator ([see more](#)) and such changes are implemented into each calculator version we support like the Equine Carbon Calculator.

Where an item is not included in the calculator this is usually due to a lack of available peer reviewed data – though you can contact us at [calculator@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk](mailto:calculator@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk) to request a new item be added.

## 12. Independent Reviews

We believe it's important for any Carbon Calculator to be independently scrutinised. We aim to undertake this on an annual basis. Our last review was completed in [October 2023 by The Carbon Trust](#).

## 13. Development cycle

Our development cycle is summarised in the figure below. At a minimum, the Calculator is updated annually. Research into new data, methodologies, and new user functions does continue throughout the year and minor adjustments may be made to the calculator.

As we develop the calculator, we believe it's critical to listen to the views, requests and questions of our users, ensuring we are as relevant, up to date and user friendly as possible. A structured engagement process with users and working groups on particular topics, helps to strengthen the knowledge, feedback, rigour and testing for the Calculator.




Figure: Our annual calculator development cycle

## 14. Contacting us

We welcome Calculator users to contact the Calculator team with questions, suggestions and comments at any time.

**For general enquiries, please email:** [calculator@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk](mailto:calculator@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk) or you can contact specific members of staff:



**Calculator Manager:**

Lizzy Parker

[lizzy.parker@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk](mailto:lizzy.parker@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk)



**Calculator Development officer:**

James Pitman

[James.pitman@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk](mailto:James.pitman@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk)



**Calculator customer service officer:**

Michael Brown

[Michael.brown@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk](mailto:Michael.brown@farmcarbontoolkit.org.uk)